

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,225	01/14/2004	Michael B. Jones	17771-298586	3261
25764 FAEGRE & BI	7590 05/03/2007 GRE & BENSON LLP		EXAM	MINER
PATENT DOC	CKETING		BOECKMANN, JASON J	
2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-3901		3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/757,225		JONES ET AL.	
Ì	Examiner	Art Unit	
ŀ	Jason J. Boeckmann	3752	{

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a N this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amenda places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The time periods:	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	he mailing date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.	must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set f AMENDMENTS	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing	a a brief will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of find NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sentence non-allowable claim(s). 	eparate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	o)
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fi because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prientered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections und showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presentered.	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claim: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	\sim \sim \sim
	Joseph A. Kaufman
	Primary Examination
	Primary Examiner 4/30/07
	1130107

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 does not appear to include all limitations in dependent claims 20 and 21 and therefore would require further consideration and/or a new search along with the amendment to claim 26.

Continuation of 13. Other: As for the applicant's further remarks and argument, The Amundesen reference was applied in order to meet thee newly added limitations of the applicant's amendment to claim 1 filed on 9/29/2006 thereby making the finality of the final rejection mailed on 12/15/2006 proper..